## Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE POSS DUPE

PAGE 01 BRASIL 00790 291419Z

45

ACTION COA-01

INFO OCT-01 ARA-06 ISO-00 SSO-00 INRE-00 SS-15 SP-02 L-02

H-01 OES-03 COME-00 EB-07 INT-05 TRSE-00 OMB-01

DLOS-03 CG-00 DOTE-00 IO-10 USIE-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00

INR-07 NSAE-00 PA-01 RSC-01 PRS-01 NSC-05 NSCE-00

/072 W

---- 079495

O R 291320Z JAN 75 FM AMEMBASSY BRASILIA TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 7527 INFO AMEMBASSY MEXICO AMCONSUL RIO DE JANEIRO AMCONSUL SAO PAULO AMCONSUL BELEM

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE BRASILIA 0790

E.O. 11652: N/A TAGS: EFIS, BR

SUBJECT: RENEGOTIATION OF BILATERAL SHRIMP FISHING ARRANGEMENT

REFS: BRASILIA 504; BRASILIA 528; BRASILIA 545; STATE 017817; STATE 016468

1. SUMMARY: SERGIO BATH, DEPUTY CHAIRMAN GOB DELEGATION, HAS ACKNOWLEDGED THAT GOB SEEKS SIGNIFICANT PHASE-DOWN BUT NOT PHASE-OUT IN FORTHCOMING NEGOTIATIONS. PHASE-DOWN SCHEDULE IN NEW THREE-YEAR AGREEMENT WITH BARBADOS IS 23, 17, AND 15 VESSELS, AS AGAINST 10 VESSELS UNDER EXISTING AGREEMENT. ACCORDING TO BATH, THIS REFLECTS PREFERENCE ACCORDED LDC HEAVILY DEPENDENT ON FISHING AS WELL AS EARLIER COMMITMENT TO RAISE BARBADOS QUOTA TO 20 VESSELS, SAME LEVEL AS TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO. GOB WOULD SEEK SUBSTANTIAL INCREASE IN PAYMENTS, IMPLICITLY LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 BRASIL 00790 291419Z

RELATED TO SHRIMP REVENUES, SET ON A PER-VESSEL BASIS (AS IN OTHER AGREEMENTS), BUT ITS OBJECTIVE WOULD BE

TO ESTABLISH ECONOMIC INCENTIVES FOR JOINT VENTURES RATHER THEN TO GAIN ACCEPTANCE OF JURIDICAL POSITION. IF TOTAL FISHING OPERATIONS INSUFFICIENT, GOB WISHES TO RESERVE UNILATERAL RIGHT TO INCREASE US FISHING QUOTA. FND.SUMMARY

2. IN INFORMAL DISCUSSION WITH ECON COUNSELOR, JANUARY 28, MINISTER

SERGIO GUARISHI BATH, DEPUTY CHAIRMAN OF BOB DELEGATION IN FORTHCOMING NEGOTIATIONS. PROVIDED FURTHER ELABORATIONS BRAZILIAN POSITION AS FOLLOWS: (A) RESOURCE ASSUMPTION: GOB "PRUDENTLY" ASSUMED THAT CAPACITY OF FISHING AREA, CONSISTENT WITH SOUND CONSERVA-TION PRACTICES, WAS ABOUT 400 VESSELS. GOB RECOGNIZES THAT SOME ESTIMATES, NOTABLY THOSE OF SOME US SCIENTISTS, ARE CONSIDERABLY HIGHER. (B) LIFE OF AGREEMENT: GOB HAD SETTLED ON THREE-YEAR TERM AS REASONABLE COMPROMISE: A LONGER TERM, UNDER PHASE-OUT PRINCIPLE, WOULD REQUIRE US TO AGREE TO DRASTIC PHASE-DOWN OR PHASE-OUT (WHICH BATH IMPLICITLY RECOGNIZED US WOULD NOT ACCEPT): A SHORTER AGREEMENT WOJLD DEPRIVE "PHASE-OUT" PRINCIPLE OF A GOOD DEAL OF ITS OPERATIONAL CONTENT. (IN AN ASIDE, BATH SAID GOB MIGHT CONCEIVABLY BE PREPARED TO AGREE TO TWO-YEAR AGREEMENT IF THREE-YEAR TERM CREATED TOO MUCH DIFFICULTY.) (C) AGREEMENT RATIONALE: GOB DID NOT SEEK ACCEPTANCE OF ITS JURIDICAL POSITION. IN THE AGREEMENTS WITH BARBADOS (COMPLETED) AND TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO (UNDER NEGOTIATION) GOB HAD BEEN ABLE TO WORK OUT COMPROMISE THROUGH DISCLAIMER FORMULA. (BOTH ALLEGED THAT SUBSTANCE OF PREAMBLE IN DRAFT AGREEMENT PROVIDED TO USG WAS BEING RETAINED IN OTHER AGREEMENTS.) (D) PHASE-DOWN OF QUOTA CEILINGS: GOB WAS SEEKING SIGNIFICANT REDUCTION OF QUOTAS BUT NO PHASE-OUT BY END OF THREE-YEAR AGREEMENT. IT WAS INTERESTED IN ECONOMIC RESULTS -- THE STIMULATION OF JOINT VENTURES --RATHER THAN EXPLICIT ACCEPTANCE OF PHASE-OUT CONCEPT. GOB WOULD WISH RETAIN RIGHT TO INCREASE ANNUAL QUOTA UNILATERALLY, IF JOINT VENTURES DID NOT PROVIDE FISHING OPERATIONS OR SUFFICIENT SCALE, TO ENSURE UTILIZATION OF LIMITED OFFICIAL USE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 BRASIL 00790 291419Z

AVAILABLE MARINE RESOURCES. (SEE, ALSO, POINT E BELOW.)
IN THE CASE OF BARBADOS, AGAINST BACKGOUND OF QUOTA
OF 10 VESSELS UNDER PRESENT AGREEMENT AND COMMITMENT
TO RAISE THIS FIGURE TO 20 VESSELS -- THE SAME LEVEL
AS TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO -- GOB HAD AGREED TO FOLLOWNG
PHASE-DOWN SCHEDULE: FIRST YEAR - 23 VESSELS, SECOND 17, THIRD - 15. BATH ARGUED THAT THIS SCHEDULE REFLECTED
PREFERENCE ACCORDED BARBADOS BECAUSE OF ITS HEAVY DEPENDENCE ON FISHING BUT CLAIMED THAT BARBADOS WAS AGREEABLE TO
IDEA OF ULTIMATELY TRANSFERRING FISHING

ACTIVITIES TO JOINT VENTURE BASIS. GOB WOULD LIKE TO CONSOLIDATE THE TWO CEILINGS UNDER PRESENT US-GOB AGREE-MENT (TOTAL NUMBER OF VESSELS AUTHORIZED AND OPERATIONAL CEILING) -- THIS IS APPARENTLY A DESIDERATUM OF BRAZILIAN NAVY -- IN LINE WITH SAMPLE CEILING UNDER THREE OTHER AGREEMENTS; BUT, BATH ADDED, GOB WOULD NOT "FIGHT AND DIE" FOR THIS. US SHIPPING INTERESTS HAD BEEN METICULOUS IN OBSERVING OPERATIONAL CEILING, AND BRAZILIA NAVY WAS HIGHLY SATISFIED WITH THEIR PERFORMANCE. (E) PAYMENTS: GOB WOULD SEEK SUBSTANTIAL INCREASE IN PAYMENT, RESET ON A PER-VESSEL BASIS, AS IN THE

OTHER THREE AGREEMENTS. (BATH CONCEDED THATPRESENT

PER-VESSEL PAYMENTS UNDER THESE AGREEMENTS ARE SIGNI-

FICIENTLY LESS (\$900 PER VESSEL) THAN THE PER-VESSEL AVERAGE

OF US PAYMENTS.) GOB FELT INCREASE WAS WARRANTED BY COST INCREASES,

ACTUAL AND EXPECTED UNDER THREE-YEAR AGREEMENT; BUT, ON TOP OF

THIS, SEEKS ECONOMICALLY SIGNIFICANT INCREASE WHICH WOULD

PROVIDE INCENTIVE FOR GRADUAL TRANSFER OF FISHING

OPERATIONS TO BRAZILIAN FLAG AND US-BRAZILIAN JOINT

VENTURES. BATH AFFIRMED GOB DID NOT SEEK TO GAIN ACCEPT-

TANCE OF JURIDICAL POINT THROUGH THESE INCREASES. ALSO,

BRAZILIAN NAVY IS SEEKING SUBSTANTIAL INCREASE IN PER-DIEM FEE

FOR SEIZED VESSELS TO COVER COST INCREASES.

(F) OTHER OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS: GOB WOULD NOT ASK

FOR ANY MAJOR CHANGES IN TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS OF

VESSELS, AREAS OF OPERATION, ETC.

(G) INCENTIVES FOR JOINT VENTURES: BATH WAS VAGUE AS

TO NEW POSITIVE INCENTIVE, IF ANY, GOB WAS PREPARED

TO OFFER TO ATTRACT FOREIGN INVESTORS INTO JOINT VENTURES

WITH BRAZILIAN INTERESTS. HE HELD OUT POSSIBILITY OF

SPECIAL FINANCING, RELAXATION OF RESTRICTIONS ON

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 04 BRASIL 00790 291419Z

NATIONALITY OF CREWS ETC., BUT ACKNOWLEDGED THAT HE WAS NOT TOO FAMILIAR WITH THIS AREA, HOWEVER, OTHER PARTS OF GOB ALLEGEDLY HAVE SOUGHT TO CONTACT US FISHING INTERESTS TO ASCERTAIN THE CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH US COMPANIES WOULD BE WILLING TO ENTER INTO JOINT VENTURES. BATH NOTED THAT US COMPANIES HAD PRESENTED LIST OF CONDITIONS. INPLICITLY ACKNOWLEDGEING THAT THE BRAZILIAN APPROACH HAD SO FAR MET WITH LITTLE RESPONSE.

(H) TIMING OF NEGOTIATIONS: COUNSELOR ASKED WHETHER GOB HAD CONSIDERED TIMING OF NEGOTIATIONS IN RELATION TO LOS NEGOTIATIONS AND WHY GOB PERSISTED IN PRESSING FOR SUCH FAR-REACHING CHANGES IN ADVANCE OF LOS CONFERENCE RESULTS. BATH RESPONDED THAT EVEN AFTER LOS NEGOTIONS AND ADOPTION OF, FOR EXAMPLE, PATRIMONIAL SEA CONCEPT, USG AND GOB STILL WOULD HAVE TO WORK OUT OPERATIONAL ARRANGEMENTS; ONCE PATRIMONIAL SEA CONCEPT AGREED GOB MIGHT HAVE TO TAKE MUCH TOUGHER LINE, RESULTING IN MORE

DIFFICULT NEGOTIATION, THAN WAS THE CASE TODAY.

2. PURSUANT TO REFTEL STATE 16468, ECON
COUNSELOR NOTED THAT USG COULD NOT AGREE TO SUBSTANTIAL
INCREASE IN PAYMENTS AND THAT NEGOTIATIONS WOULD PROVE
TO BE TROUBLESOME IF GOB INFLEXIBLE ON THIS POINT.

CRIMMINS

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: NEGOTIATIONS, FISHING AGREEMENTS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 29 JAN 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GolinoFR
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975BRASII 00790

Document Number: 1975BRASIL00790 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: N/A Film Number: D750032-1034 From: BRASILIA

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750112/aaaaajxi.tel Line Count: 176 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION COA Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 4

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 75 BRASILIA 504, 75 BRASILIA 528, 75 BRASILIA 545, 75 STATE 017817
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GolinoFR

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 03 SEP 2003

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <03 SEP 2003 by BoyleJA>; APPROVED <04 SEP 2003 by GolinoFR>

**Review Markings:** 

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JÚL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: RENEGOTIATION OF BILATERAL SHRIMP FISHING ARRANGEMENT

TAGS: EFIS, BR To: STATE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006